G	ase 2:17-cv-00786-AB-PLA	Document 7	Filed 01/31/17	Page 1 of 5	Page ID #:57	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	CEI	NTRAL DIST	FRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA		
10	BADR DHAIFALLAH A	HMED		V 17-00786	AB (DI Av)	
11	MOHAMMED; YOUSEF BADR DHAIF				EMERGENCY	
12	AHMED MOHAMED; MAHA ABDULHAMEE		MOTION	FOR TEMP		
13	MOHAMMED ALMAW MURAD KHALED ALI;	'RI;		NARY INJU		
14	WALEED MUSAED QA MOHAMMED;					
15	MAGED WALEED MUS QASEM;	SAED				
16	ANWAR SALEH NAGI; RIFAQ ANWAR SALEH	I NAGI				
17	ALEAŻZALI; KHALED ANWAR NAC					
18	ALEAZZALI; ASHAWQ MOHAMME					
19 20	AHMED; SABA ALI ALI SAAED;					
20	YOUSEF AHMED MOH SAAD;					
21	NAWAR AHMED MOH SAAD;					
22 23	IBRAHIM AHMED MO SAAD;					
23 24	MOHAMED AHMED M SAAD;					
24 25	ABDULATEF ABDO M HAILAN;					
23 26	DIYAZAN ALI SAEED; SAHAR SALEM AHME	D;				
20 27	NASLAH H A SAEED; ALI MOHSEN SAEED;	OUCENI.				
27	ALI MOHSEN SAEED; SAIF DIYAZAN ALI MO SARAH FADEL MUTH	ANA SAIF;				
20			1			
	ATLA Do	c. No. 170202	1. 231. (Posted 2/	2/17)		

C	ase 2:17-cv-00786-AB-PLA Document 7 Filed 01/31/17 Page 2 of 5 Page ID #:58					
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	OMAR ALI MOHSEN MURSHED: BASSAM ALI MOHSEN MURSHED; NADHRA SALEH ALZER; MURAAH MOHSEN SALEH MOOBEL SALEH; QASEM ABDULRAHMAN SALEM AL-HASAN; MUNA O AL SAKKAF, Plaintiffs, V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES; UNITED STATES CONSTAND BORDER PATROL: DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States of America; DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as the Acting Attorney General of the United States; JOHN KELLY, Scretary of the Department of Homeland Security; URI SCI ALABBA, Acting Director of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, in his official capacity as Acting Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Patrol, Defendants.					
24	Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order And/Or					
25	Preliminary Injunctive Relief. (Dkt. No. 3.) Upon consideration of the Complaint (Dkt.					
26	No. 1), the Motion, and the supporting declarations (Dkt. Nos. 4, 5), for Good Cause					
27	Shown, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion.					
28						
	2.					
	AILA Doc. No. 17020231. (Posted 2/2/17)					

DISCUSSION

A temporary restraining order ("TRO") is "an extraordinary remedy that may only be awarded upon a clear showing that the plaintiff is entitled to such relief." *Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council*, 555 U.S. 7, 22 (2008). The purpose of a TRO is to preserve the status quo before a preliminary injunction hearing may be held. *Granny Goose Foods, Inc. v. Bhd. of Teamsters & Auto Truck Drivers Local No. 70 of Alameda City.*, 415 U.S. 423, 439 (1974). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 governs the issuance of TROs and preliminary injunctions, and courts apply the same standard to both. *Frontline Med. Assocs., Inc. v. Coventry Healthcare Workers Comp., Inc.*, 620 F. Supp. 2d 1109, 1110 (C.D. Cal. 2009).

A party seeking preliminary injunctive relief must satisfy one of two tests. Under one test, the party must establish that he is (1) likely to succeed on the merits of his claims, (2) that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, (3) that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and (4) that an injunction is in the public interest. *Am. Trucking Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles*, 559 F.3d 1046, 1052 (9th Cir. 2009).

Under the alternative test, a party must show "serious questions going to the merits' [,] a balance of hardships that tips sharply toward the plaintiff," a likelihood of irreparable harm, and that the injunction is in the public interest. *Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell*, 632 F.3d 1127, 1132 (9th Cir. 2011). A "serious question" is one on which the movant "has a fair chance of success on the merits." *Sierra On-Line, Inc. v. Phoenix Software, Inc.*, 739 F.2d 1415, 1421 (9th Cir. 1984).

The Court finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied these standards and that a TRO should issue. Plaintiffs have satisfied the first test because they have shown that they are likely to succeed on the merits of claims that would entitle them to relief; Plaintiffs are likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; the balance of equities favors Plaintiffs; and an injunction is in the public interest. Plaintiffs have also satisfied the "alternative" test: they have established at least a serious question going to the merits of their claims; that the balance of hardships tips decisively in their favor; and,as noted as to the first test, a likelihood of irreparable harm and that an injunction is inthe public interest.

4

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

6 1. Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in 7 concert or participating with them, are **ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED** from 8 enforcing Defendant President Donald J. Trump's January 27, 2017 Executive Order by removing, detaining, or blocking the entry of Plaintiffs, or any other person from 9 10 Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen with a valid immigrant visa; 11 2. Defendants, and Defendant United States Department of State in particular, are hereby ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from cancelling validly obtained and 12 13 issued immigrant visas of Plaintiffs;

- Defendants, and Defendant United States Department of State in particular, are
 hereby **ORDERED** to return to Plaintiffs their passports containing validly issued
 immigrant visas so that Plaintiffs may travel to the United States on said visas; and
- Defendants are hereby ORDERED to IMMEDIATELY inform all relevant airport,
 airline, and other authorities at Los Angeles International Airport and International
 Airport in Djibouti that Plaintiffs are permitted to travel to the United States on their
 valid immigrant visas.

Unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties:

- Plaintiffs shall file any **supplemental brief** in support of their motion for preliminary injunction **by February 2, 2017**.
- Defendants shall file their opposition by February 5, 2017.
- Plaintiffs shall file their **reply by February 8, 2017**.
- Defendants shall appear on February 10, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. to show cause why
 - 4. AILA Doc. No. 17020231. (Posted 2/2/17)

G	ase 2:17-cv-00786-AB-PLA Doc	cument 7 Filed 01/31/17 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:61				
1	the preliminary injunctiv	ve relief sought in the Ex Parte Application for Temporary				
2	Restraining Order And/Or Preliminary Injunction should not be granted.					
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
4		C 1 Cm				
5	Dated: January 31, 2017	HONORABLE ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.				
6						
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25 25						
26						
27						
28		_				
	AILA Doc. N	5. o. 17020231. (Posted 2/2/17)				