

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

	FOR FLRA USE ONLY	
Case No.		
Date Filed		

ş	CUA	1	20	E.	A 4	C A	TN	TOT	r .	MI	A	GEN	CV
ì	H A	۱ı	<1 -	н,	A	TA			A		A	C-H.N	CY

1. AGENCY AGAINST WHICH CHARGE IS BROUGHT	2. CHARGING PARTY					
a. Name of Charged Agency (include address, city, state, & ZIP) Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR) Department of Justice 5107 Leesburg Pike 26th Floor Falls Church, VA 22041	a. Name of Charging Party (include address, city, state, & ZIP) National Association of Immigration Judges (NAIJ) IFPTE Judicial Council 2 Los Angeles Immigration Court 606 South Olive Street Floor 15 Los Angeles, CA 90014					
b. Agency Representative (include name, title, address) Rena Scheinkman Assistant General Counsel Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR) 5107 Leesburg Pike 26th Floor Falls Church, VA 22041	b. Charging Party Representative (include name, title, address) Richard Bialczak Counsel 48-18 Van Dam Street 2nd Floor Long Island City, NY 11101					
tel. 703-605-0442 fax	tel. 202-236-7259 fax					
e-mail rena.scheinkman@usdoj.gov	e-mail rickbial@gmail.com					
3. BASIS OF THE CHARGE						
In and around August 2019, the Agency distributed to all EOIR employees in its daily briefing a prominently displayed summary and link to a white nationalist blog post singling out the Union President and Union Executive Vice President for their actions as both Immigration Judges and as union officials, and in which - among other things - the Union officials were labeled "kritarchs". "Kritarch" is a term used by white nationalists in espousing their noxious conspiracy theories and anti-semitic beliefs. The distributed article opined that NAIJ should be decertified as a Union. This article was sent shortly after the Agency filing of a unit clarification petition seeking the decertification of the Union. The Union officials identified in the article received vicious and threatening voice mails shortly after its publication. Moreover, shortly after the Agency distributed the blog post, it announced that it was ceasing its daily briefing - despite the fact that it is popular and widely read among EOIR employees. The intentional publication of this blog post has the effect of interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in the exercise of their rights, discouraging membership by discrimination in connection with their conditions of employment, and discrimination in connection with opposition to an Agency petition. Therefore, the Agency's actions constitute a violation of 5 USC Section 7116(a)(1),(2), and (4).						
b. Which subsection(s) of 5 U.S.C. 7116(a) do you believe the Agency has vio						
c. Have you or anyone else raised this matter in any other procedure? ☐ Grievance Procedure ☐ Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ☐ Other Administrative or Judicial Proceeding ☐ Negotiability Appeal	ction Board					
4. DECLARATION						
I DECLARE THAT I HAVE READ THIS CHARGE AND THAT THE STATEMENTS IN IT ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT MAKING WILLFULLY FALSE STATEMENTS CAN BE PUNISHED BY FINE AND IMPRISONMENT, 18 U.S.C. 1001. THIS CHARGE WAS SERVED ON THE PERSON IDENTIFIED IN BOX 1b BY [check all appropriate boxes] In Person Ist Class Mail Fax Commercial Delivery Certified Mail e-mail (see reverse)						
Richard Bialczak	9/27/2019					
Type or Print Your Name	r Signature Date					

Form Exempt Under 44 U.S.C. 3512

FLRA Form 22 (Rev. 10/2014)