



February 12, 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR: Field Office Directors
Enforcement and Removal Operations

FROM: Nathalie R. Asher *Nathalie R. Asher*
Acting Executive Associate Director

SUBJECT: Migrant Protection Protocols Guidance

Purpose

This memorandum provides operational guidance to impacted Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) field offices to ensure that the Migrant Protection Protocols (MPP) are implemented in accordance with applicable law, the Secretary's January 25, 2019, memorandum, *Policy Guidance for Implementation of the Migrant Protection Protocols*, Acting Director Vitiello's February 12, 2019, memorandum of the same title, and other applicable policies and procedures.

Background

On January 25, 2019, Secretary Nielsen issued a memorandum entitled *Policy Guidance for Implementation of the Migrant Protection Protocols*, in which she provided guidance for the implementation of the MPP, an arrangement between the United States and Mexico to address the migration crisis along our southern border announced on December 20, 2018. Thereafter, on February 12, 2019, Deputy Director and Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director Vitiello issued U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Policy Memorandum 11088.1, *Implementation of the Migrant Protection Protocols*, announcing that operational implementation of MPP began at the San Ysidro port of entry on or about January 28, 2019, and directing that ICE program offices issue further guidance to ensure that the MPP is implemented in accordance with the Secretary's memorandum, applicable law, and policy guidance and procedures.

Discussion

Under section 235(b)(2)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) may, in its discretion, with regard to certain applicants for admission who are "arriving on land (whether or not at a designated port of arrival) from a foreign territory contiguous to the United States, . . . return the alien[s] to that territory pending a proceeding under [INA section] 240."

return the alien to Mexico pending removal proceedings pursuant to section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA, as detailed in ICE Policy Memorandum 11088.1. Aliens processed under the MPP will be issued a Notice to Appear (NTA) by CBP and returned by CBP to Mexico to await their removal proceedings.

Aliens returned to Mexico under the MPP pursuant to section 235(b)(2)(C) of the INA will be required to report to a designated POE on their scheduled hearing dates and will be paroled into the United States by CBP for purposes of their hearings. As further explained in the next section, CBP will then transfer the aliens to ERO custody for transportation to designated Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) court locations for their hearings.

If the alien is granted relief or protection from removal by the immigration judge or is ordered removed from the United States, and appeal is not reserved by either party, the alien will be processed in accordance with standard procedures applicable to final order cases. If the immigration judge continues proceedings or enters an order upon which either party reserves appeal, ERO will transport the alien back to the POE, whereupon CBP officers will take custody of the alien to return the alien to Mexico to await further proceedings.

MPP implementation began at the San Ysidro port of entry (POE) on or about January 28, 2019, and it is intended that MPP implementation will expand to additional locations along the southern border. This memorandum provides general procedural guidance applicable to ERO personnel in the implementation of the MPP. Field Office Directors should each assign a lead POC for MPP issues arising within their AORs and issue local operational guidance applicable to their individual areas of responsibility as the MPP is phased in.

Hearing Transportation and Custody

Before returning an alien to Mexico under the MPP to await his or her removal proceedings, CBP will provide the alien instructions explaining when and to which POE to report to attend his or her hearing. On the day of the hearing, an alien returned to Mexico under the MPP will arrive at the POE at the time designated—generally, a time sufficient to allow for CBP processing, pre-hearing consultation with counsel (if applicable), and timely appearance at hearings. Once CBP conducts POE processing (including verification of identity and a brief medical screening), for hearings set at immigration courts located in the interior of the United States, CBP will parole the alien into ICE’s custody under INA section 212(d)(5)(A), and ERO will maintain physical custody of the alien during transportation of the alien from the POE to the designated immigration court location, making appropriate use of contract support and complying with applicable requirements concerning the transportation of aliens.

In cases in which ICE performs that transportation function between the POE and an inland immigration court, the alien is detained in ICE custody as an arriving alien.¹ ERO should coordinate locally with CBP officials at POEs where the MPP has been implemented, so that the

¹ Aliens participating in the MPP who CBP initially encounters at a POE are “arriving aliens” within the meaning of 8 C.F.R. §§ 1.2 and 1001.1(q) (defining “arriving alien” to include “an applicant for admission coming ... into the United States at a port-of-entry”). Moreover, on their hearing dates before an immigration judge, aliens who CBP initially encountered *between* the POEs will come *to* a POE to attend their hearings, placing them within the “arriving alien” definition, as well.

daily volume of MPP cases can be monitored and any transportation needs may be properly met. ERO should also coordinate locally with EOIR concerning security arrangements at the immigration court location. While EOIR is responsible for security inside the courtroom, and ERO should generally defer to immigration judges' wishes concerning their presence in the courtroom, DHS is ultimately responsible for maintaining custody of the alien. If an alien is ordered released by an immigration judge, ERO should coordinate closely with the ICE Office of the Principal Legal Advisor (OPLA) regarding how to proceed with the case. After an alien's removal hearing is over, ERO will transport him or her back to the POE for return to Mexico or to retrieve property, as applicable. If the alien has received a final grant of relief or an administratively final order of removal, ERO will coordinate with CBP and make appropriate custody determinations.

Access to Counsel

Section 240(b)(4)(A) of the INA provides that an alien in removal proceedings before an immigration judge "shall have the privilege of being represented, at no expense to the Government, by counsel of the alien's choosing who is authorized to practice in such proceedings." Similarly, section 292 provides that "[i]n any removal proceedings . . . the person concerned shall have the privilege of being represented (at no expense to the Government) by such counsel . . . as he shall choose." Accordingly, in order to facilitate access to counsel for aliens subject to return to Mexico under the MPP who will be transported to their immigration court hearings by ERO, ERO will depart from the POE with the alien at a time sufficient to ensure arrival at the immigration court not later than one hour before his or her scheduled hearing time in order to afford the alien the opportunity to meet in-person with his or her legal representative.

Non-Refoulement Considerations

In accordance with Secretary Nielsen's January 25, 2019, memorandum, DHS should implement the MPP consistent with the *non-refoulement* principles contained in Article 33 of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951 Convention) and Article 3 of the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT). Specifically, an alien should not be involuntarily returned to Mexico under the MPP if the alien would more likely than not be persecuted on account of race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion (unless such alien has engaged in criminal, persecutory, or terrorist activity described in section 241(b)(3)(B) of the INA), or would more likely than not be tortured, if so returned pending removal proceedings.

If an alien subject to the MPP affirmatively states to an ERO officer that he or she has a fear of persecution or torture *in Mexico*, or a fear of return *to Mexico*, at any point while in ERO custody, ERO will notify CBP of the alien's affirmative statement so that CBP officials at the POE may refer the alien to a U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) asylum officer for screening before any return to Mexico to assess whether it is more likely than not that the alien will face persecution or torture if returned to Mexico in accordance with guidance issued by the Director of USCIS.

If USCIS assesses that such an alien is more likely than not to face persecution or torture in Mexico, ERO will determine whether the alien may be maintained in custody or paroled, or if another disposition is appropriate. Such an alien may not be subject to expedited removal; however, and may not be returned to Mexico to await further proceedings.²

Recordkeeping and Reporting

MPP aliens booked in and out of ICE custody must be appropriately documented in the Enforce Alien Detention Module (EADM) and monitored per a final Form I-216, *Record of Person and Property Transfer*. For MPP aliens booked into ICE custody, the comment “out to court pursuant to MPP,” must be added to the comments section of EADM.

EADM records for MPP aliens booked out of ICE custody will need to reflect the appropriate court dispositions. Comments in EADM should reflect “MPP, Returned to the POE for Future Hearing;” “MPP, Granted Relief, Released from Custody;” “MPP, Claimed Fear of Mexico, returned to the POE;” or “MPP, Ordered Removed,” or similar comments indicating an MPP disposition as appropriate.

Disclaimers

Except as specifically provided in relation to the MPP, existing policies and procedures for processing and removing aliens remain unchanged. That applies to record-keeping responsibilities as well as removal authority and responsibility. The MPP does not change ERO’s removal operations, and removable aliens will be processed in accordance with standard practices and procedures.

This document is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person. Likewise, this guidance places no limitations on the otherwise lawful enforcement or litigative prerogatives of DHS.

² In MPP cases where an immigration judge grants withholding or deferral of removal *to Mexico* and appeal is reserved, ERO should confer with OPLA about appropriate next steps prior to any return under INA section 235(b)(2)(C).